

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
)	
Jose Antonio Almeida Neto)	Group Art Unit: 1791
)	
Application No.: 10/562,161)	Examiner: James M. Sanders
)	
Filed: June 19, 2008)	
)	
For: Process for Manufacturing a Ptfе)	Confirmation No.: 6591
Filament, and a Ptfе Filament)	
Obtained by this Process)	

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

REPLY

In response to the Restriction Requirement of June 17, 2010, Applicant elects Group I and process claims 1 and 2 for further prosecution in this application.

While the Examiner refers to claims 1-3 as being process claims, he is considering the claims originally filed with the case and not those as amended under Article 19. There the process claims are claim 1 and 2. Accordingly, it is believed that if the Examiner had considered the correct claims, Group I would have included process claims 1 and 2 and Group II product claims 3-11.

It is requested that the Examiner consider rejoining product claims 3-11 once a process claim is found to be allowable since they all depend from process claim 1.